Fabiny Kiadó, William orld of Science, 2017, 53yndale, "The Budapest, Károli Gáspár ij. Process György Kurucz the Református otestantism, Egy etem Knowlea armattan

HT" **PROCESS** THE TEXT"

REFORMATION HERMENEUTICS OF WILLIAM TYNDALE

Tibor FABINY

hermeneutics4. published on Tyndale as a biblical scholar¹, as a translator², as a maker of the undoubtedly, William Tyndale (1492?–1536). English language³ but only a a few scholars father of English Bible translation and thus of English Protestantism is, Several articles have already been have tried to explore Tyndale's

ing against this mainstream, Hans Frei, in his eighteenth and nineteenth century critics.6 (1974), has assumption has been that there is an unbroken line of continuity between the a total commitment to this new understanding of the literal sense. The general historical-critical method, emerging in the Reformation and the eighteenth century with teralis became simply sensus historicus, and this method was characterized by logical sense of the text as well as the historical reference. However, for studies. The Old Testament scholar Brevard S. Childs in a 1976 article argued during the time of the Reformation the literal sense meant the explicative theothat it was both an "ancient and modern problem"⁵. He pointed out that while The issue demonstrated the discontinuity of the literal sense is a frequently debated question in biblical eigtheenth century, the sensus between regard to the literal sense. Argu-The Eclipse of Biblical Narrative the Reformers and the

Hebrew Original, Renaissance Quarterly, 33 (1980), 351-385. Hammond, Gerald, William Tyndale's Pentateuch: Its Relation to Luther's German Bible and the

Cummings, Brian, The Theology of Translation, in J. T. Day – E. Lund – A. M. O'Donell (eds.), Word, Church and State. Tyndale Quincentenary Essays, Washington D.C., The Catholic University of America Press, 1998, 36–61.

delivered 4 March 1971 at University College London, London, University College, Davies, Norman, William Tyndale's English of Controversy, The Chamber Memorial Lecture 1971.

PARKER, Douglas, Tyndale's Biblical Hermeneutics, in Word, Church and State, 87--101.

Ruprecht, 1976, pp. 88–99. Frei, Hans, The Eclipse of Biblical Narrative. H. Donner at al. (eds.), Beiträge zur Altestamentliche Theologie, Göttingen, BREVARD, S. Childs, The Sensus Literalis of Scripture: Αn Ancient and Modern Problem", in Vandenhoeck

Ä Study in Eighteenth and Nineteenth Century

sense when he radically rejects al their ideas conform both use the modern-sounding term "process of the text" forms of it; (2) how frequently Tyndale and his colleague John Frith (1503-1533) ing that he raises modern questions concerning the nature of the literal sense the following paper I wish Tyndale's writings are in a way all hermeneutical treatises, and I am suggestof textuality. to the to Fathers llegory, permitting at the same time certain show (1) what Tyndale means by of the Church, and to some in their writings; the modern (3) literal

TYNDALE'S LITERAL SENSE

Four The Lutheran-type hermeneutics in English. Nevertheless I would propose way into Holy Scripture (1531)8 here that Tyndale gives a definition of the literal sense: Man (1528), as the first par excellence Prologue to the 1525 Cologne Senses of Scripture", the last might long section of Fragment⁷ and its be considered as the first hermeneutical treatise The expanded edition A Path-Obedience of in English. It is documents of a Christian

"... the scripture hath but one sense, which is the literal sense. And that literal sense is the root and ground of all, and the anchor that never faileth, whereunto if thou cleave, thou canst never err or go out of the way. And if thou leave the literal sense, thou canst not but go out of the way."

Tyndale rejects the medieval Quadriga, or, "Four senses of Scripture" the idea of which goes back to John Cassian (c365-c435) a contemporary of Augustine. Tyndale emphasized "the one sense" which is the "root", the "ground" and the "anchor" of every signification.

Tyndale shared the Lutheran and Reformation principle of sacra scriptura sui ipsius interpres when he said:

"The Process of the Text"

Apostles and prophets The If scripture the Pope giveth record cannot or P bring for an open text, Ç himself his ex the an position the practicing of Christ or of the d ever expondeth itself by another open n is his exposition false doctrine."10

THE "PROCESS OF THE TEXT"

sn In or Tyndale principles of the conforms ij as German. surprisingly age of "word-processors" Tyndale's and to the idea of sacra scriptura John I hope to show main reformers, there is no similar terminology either in Latin Frith modern. are quite To my how unique modern the term is. knowledge the sui in using this dea of the "process of the text" strikes ipsius interpres and the analogia fidei English reformers William terminology. Though

tological congregation: sense and the Tyndale in the Obedience process is "open scriptures" obtained by reading) of the and the text" the Holy as (hermeneutical "common Ħ recommends Spririt corresp and ond articles s to Christ as the foundation (chrishis reading of Scripture according to reading). He makes it clear that this this reading is for the benefit of the of faith" (confessional reading)

"Prepare thy mind therefore unto this little treatise; and read it discreetly; and judge it indifferently. And when I allege any scripture, look thou on the text whether I interpret it right: which thou shalt easily perceive by the circumstance and process of them, if thou make Christ the foundation and the ground..."

11

In the last part of The Obedience Tyndale writes:

scripture, but similitudes Spirit."12 scripture, or by we a like text of another place, of worldly have and free found out apply them things besides things, the to even literal sen our the SO purposes; which scripture, and altogether at the liberty of the we again borrow similitudes or allegories of then go we, and as the scripture borroweth se of the scripture by the process of the allegories are no sense of the

54

Hermeneutics, New Haven - London, Yale University Press, 1974.

POLLARD, Alfred W. (ed.), Tyndale, William, The Beginning of the New Testament. Translated by William Tyndale 1525. Facsimile of the Unique Fragmenmt of the Uncompleted Cologne Edition, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1926.

Walter, Henry (ed.), Tyndale, William, A Pathway into the Holy Scripture, in, Doctrinal Treatises and Introductions to Different Portions of the Holy Scripture by William Tyndale, The Parker Society 42, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1848, 1–28.

⁹ Daniell, David, (ed.), *Tyndale, William, The Obedience of a Christian Man,* London, Penguin Books, 2000, 56.

¹⁰ TYNDALE, Obedience, 172.

¹¹ TYNDALE, Obedience, 30. The bold text is always my addition: TF.

¹² TYNDALE, Obedience, p. 158.

of the text"13 when they imposed alleg Tyndale, in his Preface to Genesis: The allegorizers, or, the followers of (0 7 he \vdash ┌╅ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ 7 \vdash ~ J 0 റ S

and apparent reasons of natural wisdo der, and meaning of the text; ...Whic Testament... that they might see the p "... darken the right way with the mist S S 20 Δ, \odot 7 0 O $\overline{}$ Д Ф Ω Ω. 0 $\mathbf{\Phi}$ O ~ 0 0 \mathbf{G} Ø O _ ~

come at Christ, which is the way's en tures spring out of God, and flow un For Tyndale the "process of the text" Christ. Thou must therefore go along Q 4 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 0 H S Q ᠬ Ħ 1 0 $\mathbf{\Phi}$ መ

so saith, but because the affirmed the real presence, Frith's reply but the Scripture of God. I do neither a pretation of the words of the Last Sup months of his martyrdom. When Mor Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Ch he wrote Against the First, conspicuous frequency in his A Book.. expressions "the process of the texts" Thomas More's allegations in his Dial have assisted him in translating t William Tyndale's younger colleag Scripture of (Little Treati مو no 0 0 at S ַס φ S 0 ny _ 1 H \vdash \circ 0 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ Q Θ ര S ₩. S S Q ㅈ 0 Ω S GZ at ~ **~** 0 77 ij at ad C

common sense and the authority of that the process of the text doth more The Swiss reformers, Frith says, " Q _ ~ ~ \rightarrow $\overline{}$ \rightarrow Q Д _

ന

sity in a figurative concerning the Last Supper doctors. not stand with the literal sense, compelleth us to expound it figuratively, as doth St Austin and other holy »19 way = ... but now, in cannot be interpre as shall hereaft our matter, the process of Scripture will er appear. And therefore neces ted in the literal sense, but only

If the literal sense to take it figuratively: absurd, or, gross, the dyn lamic process of the text teaches

the because the literal sense is impossible, and ca process of Scripture construe with the it spiritually²⁰... process of which is received, as scripture but I say that this that ot it shall appear by certain texts..."21 gross imagination may not stand with her texts do of necessity constrain nnot be true; meaning that cannot

NDALE'S REFORMATION AND FRITH'S AND "PROCESS Modern OF LITERARY CONTEXT THE TEXT" IN A PATRISTIC,

used by tion theologian Matthias Flacius Illyricus (1520–1575). Athanasius said: Tyndale's idea of the " the Athanasius of process of the text" Alexandria (296 analogous to the idea of the "scope" ယု 73) and by the famous Reforma-

the of a Virgin, Mary Bearer of God, and was made throughout inspired Scripture, as the Lord himself has said, 'Search the Scriptures, contains a double account of the Saviour; that he "Now the they Father's are scope and character of Holy Scripture, as we have often said, is this, it Word and radiance and wisdom; which testify of me."22 man. And this scope is to that afterwards for us he took flesh was ever God, and is the Son, being be found

Clavispurpose, or intention of total work. part of a book interrelated, how they corresponded to the perspective of the of Scripturae is the The hermeneutical rules Reformers' that the whole the literal use of "scope" ofbook". sense **Matthias** was Sc. ope" d Gerald T. Sheppard, is not to be disclosed "by the scope, Flacius Illyricus' was meant to express how monumental

^{...} TYNDALE, Obedience, p.160.

⁷ University Press, 1992, 4. David, (ed.), Tyndale's Old Testame

⁵ 15 Tyndale, Obedience, 169--70.

Mores FRITH, Sutton Courtenay Press, 1978. 318-455 et ... the fourth daye of Iuli. Anno. sacramente of the body and bloude of, christ vi his examinacion before the bishoppes ... for wh lettur which he wrote agenst the first l John, A boke made by Iohn Frith pri: 1533). A modern version edition is by 1533., 0 ťh

[×] WRIGHT, The Work of John Frith, 341. Wright, The Work of John Frith, 342.

⁹ Wright, Тне Work of John Frith,

²⁰ Wright, The Work of John Frith, 383.

Wright, The Work of John Frith, 391.

Symposium zu Ehren von Ulrich Luz), Suttgart, Quoted by Mihoc, Die prägende Kraft der Texte. Hermeneutik und Vasil, Basic Principles of Orthodox Hermeneutics, in M. Mayordomo (ed.), Wirkunsgeschichte des Neuen Testaments, Katholishes Bibelwerk GmbH, 2005, 60. (Ein

post-Reformation period in Europe, I idiosyncrasy inspired by the Greek F oriented proposal common to a perce Д Φ Д ىم 0 _ U ┰ _ -7

literal sense, which he called "the ful and for other Reformers, both the pro This implies that by means of the "s scope is the figural dimension 7 \vdash Ś S **—** • 0 S ___ -0 J ש ದ _

away cal". He defines allegory as "strange s_l "allegorical" both tropological and anagogical are u scripture. Tyndale mocks the tropolog the text while the literal is taken awa How different is this type of allegory was keen to emphasise that these allo Tyndale the literal sense, locked it up w acknowledged the existen modern literary criticisn \circ \rightarrow \odot ak --- ω ~ Ω. C β ര $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ Q 0 S Q 7 0 \leftarrow D Ω -0 Θ S C Ω. \leftarrow -ס മ \odot ര ┍ $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ סי മ ur \supset Ω ~ ס ָׁ֡ Ω 0 ര മ β ש ര Ω. ק Q 0 \vdash S 7

them new significations."26 we borrow words and sentences of one fieth, is ever the literal sense, which tho other speeches "Neverthelater, the scripture useth pro do; but that which the 7 D 7 S 7 **,** שי -סי Du _ Ω Д nt S 0 0 \leftarrow $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 7 I Ω

find in many places. 27 apocalypse, or revelations of John, highly metaphorical book and we have Tyndale recognizes that the last Book are ┷- \mathbf{C} 0 7 መ 0 S $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ _ ര 1 _ S \rightarrow 7 ጥ -S Ş മ 0 ര

exegesis, but in the sensus litteralis prop not exclusively in the sensus litteralis, Luther the literal meaning was basicall claimed that the letter and the spirit ca Tyndale, not unlike Luther, came to 7 C ┍╋ Ф Ω ര 0 7 ಭ _ ---**,** 1 _ 0 $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ S 7 ~ ۲,

spirit as if the Psalms spiritual sense were ontologicall was christological. Luther did not ly a higher one. separate the letter and the

must from the letter. Thus he wrote: "The Spirit turns into the letter, but the letter but this has nothing to do with the allegorical primacy of a "spiritual understanding" of the Quadriga. Luther's concept of spiritual help of the Holy Spirit. One can discern the spirit as distinct from the letter only in an attitude of humility recognition that that God hides himself in his revelation and reveals himself in his hiddenness the scandal of the cross. Only with the help o For Luther the spirit is concealed in the 'n its turn constantly become its spirit man understands the as God also humbled and the proclamation of God in faith with letter, the exegete must draw it out again."28 Luther believed in the f the spirit can man understand "spiritual meaning" of the Bible, understanding was, rather, himself in human body, even to sense or the spiritual meaning

of the letter but within. Tyndale, like Luther, recognized that the Ş pirit is not to be sought outside

his words are spiritual. When thou readest (Matt. i.), 'She shall bear a son, and thou is spiritual, and everlasting life unto as many as shalt call his name Jesus; for he shall save his "God is a Spirit, and all his words are spiritual. ritual, if thou have eyes of God to see the right scripture pertaineth, and the final end and people from their sins:' this literal sense meaning of the text, and whereunto cause thereof."29 ; believe it... all God's words are spi-His literal sense is spiritual, and all

modern Tyndale's view is. an idea but it has a life of its own. Paul Ricoe elaborates this idea. In the tation is that the text itself has our thought. Therefore interpretation is not an act on the text but of the text. the text is "fulfilled". S Appropriation is the recovery of what is only resaying what the text says by itself, the intention of the text. speech. Interpretation should appropriate not the intention of the author rather than "authorial intention end of my paper, let me Therefore it is more For modern critics, actualization of the text, he says, reading becomes The intention: th turn essence to mod at work, in labour, in the text. Reading proper to speak about "textual intenof Ricoeur's it is an act in which the destiny of e text speaks, the text orientates ur in his study "What is a Text?" the text is not an objectivation of ern textual theory to show how new theory of interpre-

² New York, The Pilgrim Press, 1989, LXIV. Scope of Biblical Books, in G. Sheppard, Gerald T., Between Reformation Sheppard (ed 0 S 0 ω 7 ァ

⁴⁴ XI.

^{7,} 25 Tyndale, Obedience,

Tyndale, Obedience, 156.

Tyndale, Obedience,

²⁸ ing, Gerhard, Luther. An Introduction to HisThought, London, Collins, 1972, 99.

TYNDALE, Obedience,

Ricoeur, Paul, Scholars Press, What 1986, isa Text?, in D. Klemm (ed.), He 253-246. Quotation, rmeneutical Inquiry Vol. 1, Atlanta, Geor-

spiritual sense, Frye asserted that the l metaphorical meaning..."32 So just as T primary meaning... arises simply fror and literal meaning of the Bible... of the Bible when read it as a unity of 'literal' meaning".31 This literal meanir Code (1982) "one of the central issues On the other hand the literary crit is it മ \vdash Θ ര S ρ כי Ω Ω סי S 0 ~ \leftarrow β 1 D ס 0 0 aб മ 0 ス H ַס መ 0 0 7 ര rds ַס -S

than one sense" term as follows: Reformers' and Tyndale's formula that ' term of "polysemous" meaning. This ent meanings, nor does it contradict t To describe the effect of reading remains unchallenged 0 U β 0 0 S ര 0 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ \mathbf{o} at Ω \leftarrow S 7 ס 0 Q 1 \odot β ൧ S -+ 0 4

overlooked before, but may come rather mething new out of it. This 'something is more to be to be made within the same structure of "One of the commonest experiences in got out of this', or we may from adi þ S ne 9 **a** Φ ontex a S Ω \leftarrow 7 in our ס 0 experie Œ, _ _ C _ 0

a plant out of a seed... single process but different intensities or wider contex Commenting on Dante's four growing in subtlety and c senses F ש ont nuo es Sn _ S Д Q foldin ent S

conforms not only to the hermeneutics c ogy in English which, according to my k literal sense and their idea of the "proce: Latin or German. This category, so fami William Tyndale's and John Frith's idea to Paul Ricoeur's and Northro \rightarrow 0 ロ Ø S П Ø ~ נדי S ىم _ on 7 Φ Φ 7 Ω. ש ۳, 0 æ മ _ I

نعز سب

THE PROCESS OF THE TE XT"

ists who wanted to fix the meaning in an external, historical or biographical scholars were keen on preserving the integrity of senses") and they are also able to avoid the trap "unfolding like a plant out of a seed". That is the something static or fixed entity but rather reality. What is common in their theories is that meaning is not and Frith's ideas of "the process of the text" as a c stril the kingly modern. ontinuous, unfolding process, reason we find that Tyndale's of historicists or intentionalliteral sense ("not different conceived

^{7.2} Northrop, The Great Code. The Bible and \mathbb{R}_{0}

<u>بر</u> ند FRYE, The Great Code, 61-2.

The Great Code, 220.

The Great Code, 221.